

*CM*

JUN 9 5 21 PM '76

*Bob Saurceluis*

RTTUZYUW RUTLAAA0297 1612059-UUUU--RUDOMKA.

ZNR UUUUU ZZH ZYN

R 092057Z JUN 76

FM FBIS WASHDC

TO FBIS LONDON

FBWA

BT

UNCLAS

062

WA173

STAT

REF LD680 ON SOURCELINES:

1. THERE ARE SOME MINOR POINTS WHICH WILL NEED FURTHER CLARIFICATION AND COORDINATION. WE WILL USE ADMINS TO CLEAR THEM UP WHERE IT IS PRACTICAL. WE WILL ALSO BE SENDING YOU A LETTER WHICH DETAILS MINOR CONCERNS ABOUT SOME OF THE POINTS IN YOUR 31 MARCH LETTER AND RESPONDS TO YOUR REQUEST FOR OUR VIEWS ON PRINCIPLES FOR MARITIME AND DIPLOMATIC RTT SERVICES. THIS IS NOW CIRCULATING HERE IN DRAFT FORM.

2. REGRET YOUR LATEST CLARIFICATION ON RULES 2, 5, AND 8 PHRASING IS STILL CAUSING CONFUSION HERE. DOES INCLUSION OF TARGET IN WIREFILED COPY MEAN TARGET WOULD HAVE TO BE INSERTED IN SOURCELINES OF ALL PROCESSED ITEMS FROM THAT RADIO? IF THIS IS THE CASE, FBIS EDITORS WOULD HAVE TO BE CHANGING SOURCELINES AT BOTH ENDS. IT HAS BEEN OUR UNDERSTANDING ON THESE AGREE-TO-DISAGREE SITUATIONS THAT EACH PARTY WOULD HAVE A LIST OF SOURCELINES THAT WOULD REQUIRE CHANGE AND AMEND THEM AS NECESSARY. THUS, THE ADDITION OF THE PHRASE "IF ASKED TO DO SO BY THE OTHER" APPEARS SUPERFLUOUS AT BEST, AND WE THINK IT SHOULD BE DROPPED TO MINIMIZE CONFUSION AND FUTURE MISUNDERSTANDING. 09/2109Z JUN

BT

#0297